

# THE BURDEN OF PREMATURE VISION LOSS: WORKING-AGE VULNERABILITY TO PROLIFERATIVE DIABETIC RETINOPATHY IN INDONESIA

Chalid Kurniawan<sup>1</sup>, Mochamad R Budiman<sup>2</sup>, Nadia S Athiyyah<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup>Departement of Ophthalmology, Medical Faculty, Universitas Pasundan, Indonesia ;<sup>2</sup>Department of Cellular and Molecular Biology, Medical Faculty, Universitas Pasundan, Indonesia ;<sup>3</sup>Medical Faculty, Universitas Pasundan, Indonesia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Corresponding author:

Chalid Kurniawan  
Medical Faculty of Universitas  
Pasundan, Bandung, West Java,  
Indonesia, 40117  
dr.chalidkurniawan@unpas.ac.id

### Article history:

Received 13 February 2026

Accepted 6 Augustus 2026

Published 22 September 2026

### How to cite this article:

Kurniawan et al. The Burden of  
Premature Vision Loss: Working-Age  
Vulnerability to Proliferative Diabetic  
Retinopathy in Indonesia.

*International Journal of Retina*, [S.l.],  
v. 9, n. 2, p.10. Sep. 2026. ISSN  
2614-8536. Available at:  
[https://www.ijretina.com/index.php/  
ijretina/article/view/350](https://www.ijretina.com/index.php/ijretina/article/view/350)  
[https://doi.org/10.35479/ijretina.20  
26.vol009.iss002.350](https://doi.org/10.35479/ijretina.2026.vol009.iss002.350)

### Copyright:

© 2026. The Author(s). This work is  
licensed under the Creative  
Commons Attribution License.

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction :** Diabetic retinopathy (DR) poses a critical threat to the productive workforce in developing nations. While disease duration and hyperglycemia are established risk factors, the vulnerability of working-age patients relative to the elderly remains to be fully characterized in resource-limited settings. This study aimed to identify independent predictors of Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy (PDR), specifically evaluating differences across current age strata (working-age vs. elderly)

**Methods:** A retrospective analytical study was conducted on 118 patients with confirmed DR (NPDR=41; PDR=77) at a tertiary referral eye center in Indonesia. Age was stratified into 20–39, 40–59, and ≥60 years. Multivariate logistic regression was performed to determine independent predictors, adjusting for gender, diabetes duration, glycemic control, and hypertension.

**Results:** No patients were observed in the 20–39 age stratum ( $n=0$ ). Among the analyzed cohort ( $N=118$ ), 65.3% presented with PDR. Multivariate analysis revealed that current age ≥60 years was significantly associated with reduced odds of PDR (aOR 0.280; 95% CI 0.098–0.803;  $p=0.017$ ), indicating an approximately 3.6-fold higher risk in working-age adults (40–59 years). Diabetes duration ≥10 years (aOR 3.805;  $p=0.006$ ) and HbA1c ≥7.2% (aOR 3.856;  $p=0.006$ ) were confirmed as strong independent predictors.

**Conclusion:** Working-age patients exhibit higher vulnerability to severe PDR independent of diabetes duration and glycemic control. Rather than introducing new screening timelines, these findings underscore the urgent need for stricter adherence to existing national guidelines recommending immediate retinal examination upon diabetes diagnosis.

**Keywords:** Diabetic Retinopathy; Diabetes Mellitus; Risk Assessment; Severity of Illness Index; Blindness.

## Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a major public health problem and a leading cause of preventable blindness among working-age adults. This burden falls disproportionately on developing nations, where healthcare infrastructure is often limited.<sup>1,2</sup> The prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) is rising rapidly and is projected to reach 578 million individuals globally by 2030, with substantial economic consequences from lost workforce productivity and rising healthcare costs.<sup>3</sup> In Southeast Asia, and particularly in Indonesia, clinical data show an alarmingly high prevalence of Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy (PDR) at initial presentation, driven by delayed diagnosis, suboptimal metabolic control, and limited public awareness of ocular complications.<sup>4–6</sup>

While chronic hyperglycemia and long-standing diabetes are established drivers of retinal microvascular damage, risk profiles may vary across age cohorts.<sup>7,8</sup> A clear distinction must be maintained between working-age status, which reflects current chronological age (40–59 years), and young-onset T2DM, which is defined strictly by age at diabetes diagnosis. Literature on young-onset T2DM describes accelerated microvascular disease driven by heightened oxidative stress and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) upregulation.<sup>8</sup> Conversely, cross-sectional evaluations based on current age provide valuable epidemiological data on the immediate disease burden observed within active workforce populations.<sup>1</sup>

The national clinical practice guidelines in Indonesia recommend routine dilated fundus examinations at or shortly following T2DM diagnosis.<sup>9</sup> Despite these guidelines, patient adherence to screening remains low, and data characterizing age-specific vulnerability to PDR in local tertiary settings remain sparse.<sup>10</sup> Because age at diabetes diagnosis was not recorded in archived institutional records, this study evaluated whether current working-age status (40–59 years) independently predicts PDR severity relative to elderly status ( $\geq 60$  years) among patients managed at a tertiary referral eye center in Indonesia.

## Methods

### Research Design and Setting

This retrospective analytical study was conducted at a tertiary referral eye center in Bandung, Indonesia. Data were obtained from medical records of patients managed between January 1, 2024, and December 31, 2024. The subsequent data extraction and analysis were performed between May and June 2025.

### Population and Sampling

The study population included all patients diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy during the study period. A total of 118 eyes were selected using a non-probability purposive sampling technique, calculated to achieve 80% statistical power. Inclusion criteria required a confirmed diagnosis of either Non-Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy (NPDR) or PDR with complete medical records comprising demographic details, HbA1c levels, and disease duration. Patients with co-existing retinal pathologies, such as retinal vein occlusion or age-related macular degeneration, and those with incomplete records were excluded.

### Data Collection

Data were collected using a standardized extraction form to capture variables from electronic medical records. The dependent variable was diabetic retinopathy severity, dichotomized into NPDR and PDR based on fundus examination findings. Independent variables included age (stratified into 20–39, 40–59, and  $\geq 60$  years), gender, diabetes duration ( $<10$  and  $\geq 10$  years), glycemic control based on HbA1c levels, and history of hypertension. Additionally, metabolic and lifestyle factors, specifically high cholesterol and smoking status, were recorded. Only records with explicitly documented laboratory values and clinical diagnoses were utilized.

### Procedure and Analysis

Eligible records were identified using ICD-10 codes specific to diabetic retinopathy, and data were extracted by trained personnel. A double-entry method was applied to a random subset of records to ensure accuracy, and all patient identifiers were anonymized. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 26.0. Univariate analysis provided descriptive statistics for baseline characteristics, while bivariate analysis utilized the Chi-Square test to evaluate unadjusted associations, with significance set at  $p < 0.05$ . Significant variables were subsequently entered into a multivariate binary logistic regression model to determine independent predictors of PDR and calculate Adjusted Odds Ratios (aOR) with 95% Confidence Intervals.

### Ethical Clearance

This study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and received ethical clearance from the Health Research Ethics Committee of Universitas Pasundan (Protocol No. 2501010002). The requirement for written informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study, although strict protocols were implemented to maintain patient confidentiality and data security.

## Result

### Sample Characteristics (Univariate Analysis)

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the 118 eyes included in the study are summarized in Table 1. The cohort exhibited a marked predominance of advanced disease severity at the time of presentation. Data indicated that 65.3% ( $n=77$ ) of patients were diagnosed with Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy (PDR), while 34.7% ( $n=41$ ) presented with Non-Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy (NPDR). This high prevalence of advanced disease is consistent with referral patterns observed in other developing nations, where late presentation is common. The age distribution showed that the majority of patients (54.2%) belonged to the working-age demographic (40–59 years), with a slight male predominance (52.5%), aligning with global trends in DR prevalence. Clinical evaluation revealed suboptimal metabolic control across the cohort; 50.8% of patients presented with HbA1c levels  $\geq 7.2\%$ , and 60.2% had a documented diabetes duration of  $\geq 10$  years. Comorbidities were frequently observed, with 76.3% of patients having a history of hypertension.

### Risk Factor Comparison (Bivariate Analysis)

Bivariate analysis (Table 2) was performed to assess the unadjusted associations between risk factors and DR severity. Statistical analysis demonstrated a significant inverse relationship between age and disease severity; patients aged  $\geq 60$  years were significantly less likely to present with PDR compared to the working-age reference group (OR 0.199; 95% CI 0.087–0.454;  $p < 0.001$ ). This finding contrasts with traditional cumulative models but supports the existence of aggressive phenotypes in younger cohorts.

Female gender was associated with higher odds of PDR in this cohort (OR 2.724;  $p=0.002$ ). As anticipated, established metabolic indicators showed strong correlations with severity: diabetes duration  $\geq 10$  years (OR 3.906;  $p=0.001$ ) and HbA1c  $\geq 7.2\%$  (OR 4.000;  $p=0.001$ ) were significantly associated with PDR. Hypertension also demonstrated a significant association (OR 2.840;  $p=0.017$ ). Conversely, neither high cholesterol ( $p=0.427$ ) nor smoking status ( $p=0.644$ ) reached statistical significance in this analysis.

**Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Study Population**

| Variables                | Category                  | Frequency (n=118) | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Age Group                | 20–39 years               | 0                 | 0              |
|                          | Working-Age (40–59 years) | 64                | 54.2           |
|                          | Elderly (≥60 years)       | 54                | 45.8           |
| Gender                   | Male                      | 62                | 52.5           |
|                          | Female                    | 56                | 47.5           |
| Diabetes Duration        | < 10 years                | 47                | 39.8           |
|                          | ≥ 10 years                | 71                | 60.2           |
| Glycemic Control (HbA1c) | Good/Moderate (< 7.2%)    | 58                | 49.2           |
|                          | Poor (≥ 7.2%)             | 60                | 50.8           |
| Hypertension             | Yes                       | 90                | 76.3           |
|                          | No                        | 28                | 23.7           |
| Cholesterol              | High                      | 69                | 58.5           |
|                          | Normal                    | 49                | 41.5           |
| Smoking Status           | Smoker                    | 61                | 51.7           |
|                          | Non-Smoker                | 57                | 48.3           |
| DR Severity (Outcome)    | NPDR                      | 41                | 34.7           |
|                          | PDR                       | 77                | 65.3           |

### Independent Predictors of PDR (Multivariate Analysis)

To isolate independent predictors and control for confounding variables, a multivariate logistic regression model was constructed (Table 3). The analysis revealed that age remained a robust independent predictor of disease severity. Patients in the elderly group (≥60 years) maintained a significantly reduced risk of PDR (aOR 0.280; 95% CI 0.098–0.803;  $p=0.017$ ) after adjustment. This implies that working-age patients (40–59 years) face an approximately 3.6-fold higher risk of PDR compared to the elderly, independent of other factors. Diabetes duration and glycemic control were confirmed as powerful independent drivers of progression; duration ≥10 years (aOR 3.805;  $p=0.006$ ) and HbA1c ≥7.2% (aOR 3.856;  $p=0.006$ ) exhibited similar predictive strength. Gender ( $p=0.057$ ) and hypertension ( $p=0.186$ ), both significant in the bivariate analysis, lost statistical significance in the multivariate model. This suggests their effects were mediated by the dominant influence of glycemic control and disease duration.

**Table 2. Bivariate Analysis of Risk Factors Associated with PDR**

| Variables           | NPDR (n=41) | PDR (n=77) | Total (n=118) | OR (95% CI)              | p-value |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age Group           |             |            |               |                          |         |
| Working-Age (40-59) | 12 (18.8%)  | 52 (81.2%) | 64            | Reference                | <0.001  |
| Elderly (≥60)       | 29 (53.7%)  | 25 (46.3%) | 54            | 0.199<br>(0.087 – 0.454) |         |
| Gender              |             |            |               |                          |         |
| Female              | 13          | 43         | 56            | 2.724<br>(1.228 – 6.043) | 0.002   |
| Male                | 28          | 34         | 62            | Reference                |         |
| Diabetes Duration   |             |            |               |                          |         |
| ≥ 10 years          | 16          | 55         | 71            | 3.906<br>(1.757 – 8.684) | 0.001   |
| < 10 years          | 25          | 22         | 47            | Reference                |         |
| Glycemic Control    |             |            |               |                          |         |
| Poor (HbA1c ≥ 7.2%) | 12          | 48         | 60            | 4.000<br>(1.770 – 9.041) | 0.001   |
| Good/Mod (< 7.2%)   | 29          | 29         | 58            | Reference                |         |
| Hypertension        |             |            |               |                          |         |
| Yes                 | 26          | 64         | 90            | 2.840<br>(1.188 – 6.789) | 0.017   |
| No                  | 15          | 13         | 28            | Reference                |         |
| Cholesterol         |             |            |               |                          |         |
| High                | 26          | 43         | 69            | 1.371<br>(0.629 – 2.986) | 0.427   |
| Normal              | 15          | 34         | 49            | Reference                |         |
| Smoking             |             |            |               |                          |         |
| Yes                 | 20          | 41         | 61            | 0.836<br>(0.392 – 1.786) | 0.644   |
| No                  | 21          | 36         | 57            | Reference                |         |

## Discussion

### Age-Specific Vulnerability and PDR Risk

This study identified a significant association between current age and PDR severity among patients managed at a tertiary referral eye center. Patients in the working-age group (40–59 years) demonstrated markedly higher odds of presenting with PDR compared with elderly patients (≥60 years; aOR 0.280, 95% CI 0.098–0.803,  $p=0.017$ ), independent of diabetes duration and HbA1c levels. This finding diverges from traditional cumulative risk models, which postulate a linear increase in microvascular complications with advancing age.<sup>7,10</sup> Because age at T2DM diagnosis was unavailable in our retrospective dataset, these results describe disparities between current age strata rather than age-at-diagnosis cohorts. Nevertheless, physiological literature on early-onset metabolic dysregulation provides plausible context.<sup>11,12</sup>

Chronic exposure to elevated glucose in younger physiology can trigger rapid Protein Kinase C pathway activation, reactive oxygen species accumulation, and marked VEGF expression, accelerating retinal neovascularization.<sup>12,13</sup> The lower prevalence of PDR observed in elderly patients ( $\geq 60$  years) may also reflect survival bias and the healthy worker effect.<sup>14,15</sup> Diabetic individuals who develop severe early-onset microvascular or cardiovascular complications face higher mortality rates, leaving a survivor cohort of elderly individuals with biologically less aggressive disease.<sup>14,16</sup> Regardless of the underlying biological mechanism, the high prevalence of PDR among 40–59-year-old patients represents a substantial clinical and economic burden, as visual impairment directly compromises workforce productivity in developing economies.<sup>1,3</sup>

### The Dominance of Glycemic Control Over Hypertension

In our multivariate model, diabetes duration  $\geq 10$  years (aOR 3.805, 95% CI 1.464–9.889,  $p=0.006$ ) and HbA1c  $\geq 7.2\%$  (aOR 3.856, 95% CI 1.470–10.111,  $p=0.006$ ) were confirmed as dominant independent predictors of PDR. Conversely, hypertension was significantly associated with PDR in unadjusted analysis (OR 2.840,  $p=0.017$ ) but lost statistical significance after adjusting for metabolic variables ( $p=0.186$ ). This suggests that in cohorts with severe metabolic dysregulation (50.8% with HbA1c  $\geq 7.2\%$ ), chronic glycemic exposure is the primary driver of microvascular proliferation.<sup>17</sup> Sustained hyperglycemia induces long-lasting cellular alterations, a phenomenon termed "metabolic memory," that maintain vascular damage even when systemic blood pressure is pharmacologically controlled.<sup>17,18</sup> While blood pressure management remains essential for overall vascular health, glycemic control remains the most important therapeutic target to prevent progression to PDR in long-standing diabetes.<sup>19,20</sup>

### Re-framing Screening Recommendations

The high risk of PDR observed in working-age adults highlights the need to strengthen clinical screening implementation in Indonesia. Rather than proposing new screening intervals, these findings reinforce the necessity of enforcing strict adherence to existing national guidelines which mandate baseline dilated fundus examination at or immediately following T2DM diagnosis.<sup>9</sup> In clinical practice, working-age individuals frequently delay eye care due to occupational commitments, absence of early visual symptoms, or low awareness of diabetic eye disease.<sup>5,10</sup> Because working-age patients in our study exhibited an approximately 3.6-fold higher risk of severe disease compared to the elderly, clinical strategies must focus on improving primary care referral pathways and raising awareness among active workers to ensure timely baseline evaluations as outlined in current guidelines.<sup>21,22</sup>

**Table 3. Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis for Independent Predictors of PDR**

| Predictor Variable | Comparison                            | Adjusted Odds Ratio (aOR) | 95% CI         | p-value |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Age Group          | Elderly ( $\geq 60$ ) vs. Working-Age | 0.28                      | 0.098 – 0.803  | 0.017   |
| Diabetes Duration  | $\geq 10$ years vs. $< 10$ years      | 3.805                     | 1.464 – 9.889  | 0.006   |
| Glycemic Control   | HbA1c $\geq 7.2\%$ vs. $< 7.2\%$      | 3.856                     | 1.470 – 10.111 | 0.006   |
| Gender             | Female vs. Male                       | 2.508                     | 0.970 – 6.486  | 0.057   |
| Hypertension       | Yes vs. No                            | 2.059                     | 0.707 – 5.996  | 0.186   |

## Conclusion

This study indicates that working-age patients (40–59 years) attending a tertiary referral eye center in Indonesia carry a significantly higher risk of Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy than elderly patients, independent of glycemic control and disease duration. The priority is not new screening criteria but stronger compliance with existing Indonesian national clinical guidelines, ensuring prompt baseline retinal examinations upon T2DM diagnosis to prevent premature vision loss in the active workforce.

### Conflict of Interest

The authors affirm no conflict of interest in this study

### Acknowledgment

None

### Disclosure statement

The researcher emphasized that there were no personal interests that could influence the research results. There is no relationship with any institution that could lead to data misuse, affecting the integrity and objectivity of the research

### Author contribution statement

All authors contributed to the concept, design, definition of intellectual content, literature research, clinical studies, data analysis, manuscript preparation, and editing, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

## Reference

- Takele MB, Boneya DJ, Alemu HA, Tsegaye TB, Birhanu MY, Alemu S, et al. Retinopathy among Adult Diabetics and Its Predictors in Northwest Ethiopia. *J Diabetes Res.* 2022 Feb 15;2022:1–9. doi:10.1155/2022/1362144
- Shen Z, Wu Q, Wang Z, Chen G, Lin B. Diabetic Retinopathy Prediction by Ensemble Learning Based on Biochemical and Physical Data. *Sensors.* 2021 May 25;21(11):3663. doi:10.3390/s21113663
- V D, N R, J KK. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy among type 2 diabetes mellitus in tertiary care centre. *Journal of Case Reports and Scientific Images.* 2020 Jun 1;2(2):17–20. doi:10.22271/27080056.2020.v2.i2a.20
- Novian Adi Saputra, Weni Helvinda, Khalilul Rahman. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Diabetic Retinopathy in a Tertiary Hospital in Padang, Indonesia. *Bioscientia Medicina : Journal of Biomedicine and Translational Research.* 2024 Oct 31;9(1):6045–57. doi:10.37275/bsm.v9i1.1173
- Lu VI, Patnaik JL, Scott RA, Lynch AM, Smith JM, Mandava N, et al. Risk Factors and Outcomes of Delayed Presentation of Diabetic Retinopathy Patients to a County Hospital. *Transl Vis Sci Technol.* 2023 Sep 7;12(9):8. doi:10.1167/tvst.12.9.8
- Kibirige D, Chamba N, Andia-Biraro I, Kilonzo K, Laizer SN, Sekitoleko I, et al. Indicators of optimal diabetes care and burden of diabetes complications in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open.* 2022 Nov 8;12(11):e060786. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-060786
- Yin L, Zhang D, Ren Q, Su X, Sun Z. Prevalence and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in diabetic patients. *Medicine.* 2020;Feb;99(9):e19236. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000019236
- Middleton TL, Constantino MI, Molyneaux L, D'Souza M, Twigg SM, Wu T, et al. Young-onset type 2 diabetes and younger current age: increased susceptibility to retinopathy in contrast to other complications. *Diabetic Medicine.* 2020 Jun 5;37(6):991–9. doi:10.1111/dme.14238
- Harley O, Hertanto M, Sembiring SB, Yustiarini I, Susilo T, Dharma AG, et al. GUIDELINES FOR THE DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF DIABETIC RETINOPATHY AND DIABETIC MACULAR EDEMA IN INDONESIA. *International Journal of Retina.* 2024 Sep 22;7(2):168. doi:10.35479/ijretina.2024.vol007.iss002.300
- Alharbi AD, Alotayk NI, Alaboudi AA, Alammam A y, Aldekhail MI, Alharbi MA, et al. Prevalence and Visual Consequences of Non-adherent Patients Receiving Anti-vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) Injections at King Fahad Specialist Hospital (KFSH), Qassim Region. *Cureus.* 2023 Aug 29. doi:10.7759/cureus.44340
- Poráčová J, Nagy M, Mydlářová Blažčáková M, Konečná M, Sedlák V, Zahatňanská M, et al. Diabetes mellitus and its influence on the incidence and process of diabetic retinopathy. *Cent Eur J Public Health.* 2023 Dec 31;31(Suppl 1):S4–9. doi:10.21101/cejph.a7838
- Zhang X, Huang Y, Xu N, Feng W, Qiao J, Liu M. Low serum dehydroepiandrosterone levels are associated with diabetic retinopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Investig.* 2023 May 22;14(5):675–85. doi:10.1111/jdi.13997
- Díaz-Coránguez M, Lin CM, Liebner S, Antonetti DA. Norrin restores blood-retinal barrier properties after vascular endothelial growth factor-induced permeability. *Journal of Biological Chemistry.* 2020 Apr;295(14):4647–60. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA119.011273
- Kang Q, Yang C. Oxidative stress and diabetic retinopathy: Molecular mechanisms, pathogenetic role and therapeutic implications. *Redox Biol.* 2020 Oct;37:101799. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2020.101799
- Tang A, Zhang Y, Wu L, Lin Y, Lv L, Zhao L, et al. Klotho's impact on diabetic nephropathy and its emerging connection to diabetic retinopathy. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* 2023 Apr 18;14. doi:10.3389/fendo.2023.1180169
- Queiroz RAM, Souza CEL de, Khouri LLMB, Santos SV, Barros GL, Silva MAC, et al. Diabetes Mellitus and Hypothyroidism: Features of Clinical and Pathogenetic Relationships. *Adv Res.* 2025 Apr 21;26(2):457–71. doi:10.9734/air/2025/v26i21313
- Zegeye AF, Temachu YZ, Mekonnen CK. Prevalence and factors associated with Diabetes retinopathy among type 2 diabetic patients at Northwest Amhara Comprehensive Specialized Hospitals, Northwest Ethiopia 2021. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2023 Jan 5;23(1):9. doi:10.1186/s12886-022-02746-8
- Bijelic R, Balaban J, Milicevic S, Sipka S. The Association of Obesity and Microvascular Complications with Glycemic Control in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Medical Archives.* 2020;74(1):14. doi:10.5455/medarh.2020.74.14-18
- Wondmeneh TG, Mohammed JA. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy and its associated risk factors among adults in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* 2024 Nov 16;14(1):28266. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-78596-9
- Singhal A, Saxena D, G A, Ahada RS, Kurian NM, Singh AP, et al. Micro- and macrovascular complications in early-onset type 2 diabetes in Indians: prevalence and predictors in a prospective cross-sectional study. *Endocr Connect.* 2025 Aug 18;14(8). doi:10.1530/EC-25-0226
- Hill S, Mullins P, Murphy R, Schmiedel O, Vaghefi E, Ramke J, et al. Risk Factors for Progression to Referable Diabetic Eye Disease in People With Diabetes Mellitus in Auckland, New Zealand: A 12-Year Retrospective Cohort Analysis. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Ophthalmology.* 2021 Nov;10(6):579–89. doi:10.1097/APO.0000000000000464
- Šulak M, Jevnikar K, Drnovšek F, Vrhovec L, Urbančič M, Potrč M, et al. A stepwise implementation of the Slovenian National Diabetic Retinopathy Screening Program – evaluation of the first 4.5 years. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 2025 Mar 2;35(2):660–8. doi:10.1177/11206721241267029